

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/790,972	03/02/2004	Shunpei Yamazaki	0553-0242.01	2127	
7590 01/28/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Stephen B. Heller Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd. 200 West Adams Street - Suite 2850			LUHRS, MICHAEL K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2824		
Chicago, IL 6	50606		DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/790,972	YAMAZAKI ET AL .					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael K. Luhrs	2824					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	March 2004.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	, -							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
.4)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□								
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No. <u>09/798,608</u> . ved in this National Stage					
Attachmer	• •	"D.,	(DTO 440)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I						
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 16 July 2004.		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Examiner acknowledges cancellation of claims 2-17 without prejudice.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 16 July 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. Reference "5)" was not found; the examiner also looked to the parent case for reference "5)" but did not find it; please provide a copy of: Schenk, H. et. al., "Polymers for Light Emitting Diodes," EURODISPLAY '99, Proceedings of the 19th International Display Research Conference, Berlin Germany, September 6-9, 1999, pp. 33-37 (1999).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The "discharge" is not supported by the specification, other than having a charged EL material with the charged mask or electrode. Examiner interprets 'discharge' as the effect of the vapor state, travel in vacuum deposition. The other species have already been patented in the parent case. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,696,105. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: the EL atomized coating in the chamber as analogous to, the EL placed in a boat made to vapor in the present application, i.e. the 'EL material made to be in vapor state' would also

Application/Control Number: 10/790,972

Art Unit: 2824

be atomized particles as they traverse the distance from the boat to the substrate as 'discharged from sample boat toward the substrate'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et. al. (herein as "Nakamura" USPN 5,427,858. (Applicant's limitations in italics),

Regarding claim 1, (Currently Amended), Nakamura teach a method of forming a thin film, wherein a sample boat having an EL material contained therein, as (tris(8-quinolinol)aluminum i.e. "Alq" is placed in a boat, lines 34-37, column 18), ("whereby a light-emitting layer having a layer thickness of 60 nm was formed" line 51, column 18) a substrate having an electrode provided thereon, (first electrode formed by vacuum vapor deposition, line 31, column 10, formed on a substrate '11' glass plate, lines 3-4, column 11),

and a mask between the sample boat and the substrate are provided, (a mask, for example in Nakamura's "Example 8", lines 43-45, column 22, mask may be used, i.e. see lines 52-53, column 22, as in examples 1 or 5), wherein the EL material is made to be in a vapor state in the sample boat, (the EL material, i.e. the Alq, is heated to 265°C to deposit the Alq, see lines 47-50, column 18; Alq is believed vapor at 265° and 1x10⁻⁴ Pa, lines 49 and 38,

column 18 respectively),

wherein the EL material is-in the vapor state is discharged from the sample boat toward the substrate, (is discharged, i.e. this is a vacuum deposition process, lines 28-29, column 18) and wherein the EL material in the vapor state is made to pass through an opening of the mask corresponding to the electrode to deposit the EL material on the electrode on the substrate and form a thin film, ("a mask was covered on the ITO film 12a, i.e. '12a' is the electrode, and then a hole-injecting layer (layer '15') and a light-emitting layer (layer '18') were formed", lines 43-45, column 22), all as described from lines 54-67, column 22 in reference to Figure 3.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/790,972

Art Unit: 2824

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Michael K. Luhrs whose telephone number is 571-272-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on

M-F, 8-5.

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T.

Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael K. Luhrs

12/13/04

RICHARD ELMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 4